## THE REPRESENTATION OF MIDDLE CORNISH /o/

## Dr Ken George

In the 1980s a hitherto unidentified phoneme /o/ was discovered, being the development of Old Cornish /ui/. The treatment of this phoneme in SWF is unsatisfactory, and its treatment in KK is also open to criticism. The difficulties arise because /o/ differs from other phonemes in that its realization in different phonetic environments varies considerably. SWF attempts to allow for this variation by using different spellings, while KK uses <oe> for all allophones.

Case 1:	<b>in stressed monosyllables before a single consonant</b> N.B. the clusters /sp, st, sk/behave as a single consonant
Traditional spelling:	In Middle Cornish, it was most frequently spelled $<0>$ (53% of cases) and $<0>$ (39% of cases). In Late Cornish, it appeared as $<\hat{u}>$ and $<0o>$ .
Pronunciation:	The spelling $\langle oy \rangle$ indicates a diphthong ['oɪ] in early Middle Cornish. By the time of the texts, this had become a monophthong, a long close $o$ ['o:], although $\langle oy \rangle$ was still used. We know this because words like <i>vloyth</i> 'year' were rhymed with the English loan-word <i>forsoyth</i> (now spelled <i>forsooth</i> ), which was pronounced [for'so:ð]. In Late Cornish, the vowel was raised to ['u:].
Revived spelling:	Finding a suitable graph for the sound ['o:] proved difficult, because all of the obvious candidates were already in use for other sounds. $\langle o \rangle$ could not be used, because it meant ['o:] in words like <b>bos</b> 'to be; neither could $\langle oy \rangle$ , because it meant ['oi] in words like <b>joy</b> . After some deliberation, $\langle oe \rangle$ was chosen for the spelling in KK, since this digraph is found fairly often in place-names with /o/. SWF opted for $\langle oo \rangle$ , which is inappropriate because it comes from a different orthographic tradition, that of "signpost spelling". In Middle Cornish $\langle oo \rangle$ meant ['o:], in words like <i>roow</i> 'gifts', and this is how we should use $\langle oo \rangle$ now, just as we use $\langle ee \rangle$ for ['e <sup>*</sup> e] in words like <i>leel</i> 'local'.
Case 2	in stressed monosyllables finally
Traditional spelling:	This case is included for completeness, but the only word which falls into this category is <i>lo</i> 'spoon' (Old Cornish <i>loe</i> , Middle Cornish <i>lo</i> , cf. Breton <i>loa</i> , Welsh <i>llwy</i> ).
Pronunciation:	Here the original diphthong has apparently become ['o:]
Revived spelling:	<o> in both KK and SWF.</o>

## Case 3: in stressed polysyllables before a single consonant,

- Traditional spelling: In Middle Cornish, the vowel was again largely spelled with a mixture of <o> and <oy>, but the proportions are very different: 94% <o> and only 1% <oy>.
- Pronunciation: Here we would expect the vowel to be half-long; the Middle Cornish spelling suggests strongly that the realization was ['o<sup>-</sup>] rather than ['o<sup>-</sup>].
- Revived spelling: The SWF spelling <o> fits the apparent pronunciation. Hitherto KK has taken the pronunciation to be a half-long form of that in stressed monosyllables, viz. ['o'], fitting the spelling <oe>, e.g. *koedhas* 'fell'. If the correct pronunciation is ['o'], however, then this sound should not be treated as an allophone of /o/, but as belonging to the /o/ phoneme, and should be spelled in the same way as that phoneme, viz. <o>. KK needs to be amended.
- Case 4: in stressed monosyllables and polysyllables before a consonant cluster e.g. KK toemm, toemma, SWF tomm, tomma 'warm, warmer'
- Traditional spelling: In polysyllables in Middle and Late Cornish, the vowel was spelled <o> in 76% of the cases and <u> in 21% of the cases. In monosyllables, the percentages are <o> 44% and <u> 44%.
- Pronunciation: Being before a consonant cluster, the vowel is short, but its quality is notably different from the long vowel; it is believed to be ['x], similar to the sound ['A] in English RP *strut*, but closer.
- Revived spelling: SWF spells ['r] as <o>, even though <o> is also used for /ɔ/. This is a mistake which needs rectifying. It is a mistake because /ɔ/ and the short form of /o/ were kept apart during the whole history of traditional Cornish. KK uses <oe>, which is reasonable for monosyllables such as *toemm* 'warm', but looks silly in polysyllabic loan-words like *boekka*, *roegbi*. It would be better to use <u> for this vowel. The following table shows three minimal pairs which are distinguished in spelling in KK but not in SWF:

/ɔ/ phoneme			/o/ phoneme				
English	Sound	Spelling	English	Sound	Spelling		
meaning		SWF & KK	meaning		SWF	KK	Recommended
breast	['brənː]	bronn	reeds	['bryn:]	bronn	broenn	brunn
pool	['pɔlː]	poll	sense	['pxl:]	poll	poell	pull
hole	['təlː]	toll	deceit	['txl:]	toll	toell	tull

Case 5:	unstressed
Traditional spelling:	When unstressed and short in final closed syllables, the vowel was most commonly spelled  in Middle Cornish. For example, in the word for 'lord', it is spelled  593 times and $$ only 5 times. The unstressed vowel appears pre-tonically in place-names with 'wood' as the first element
Pronunciation:	The pronunciation has often been taken to be the same as that in Case 3, viz. [x], but it may have been [ $\upsilon$ ], the sound in English RP <i>foot</i> . It is apparently the same as in the medieval Latin suffix <i>-us</i> , as is shown by historical forms of the place-name Probus. The Cornish forms include <i>Lanbrebois</i> in Domesday Book, showing the Old Cornish diphthong / $\upsilon$ / still in place, and <i>Lambrobus</i> 1540, with the diphthong reduced. There are numerous Latin forms such as <i>Sancti Probi</i> and <i>de Sancto Probo</i> , which show <i>Probus</i> being declined as if it were a Latin noun in <i>-us</i> .
Revived spelling:	SWF uses <o>, spelling the word for 'lord' as <i>arlodh</i>. This is unwise. It is sensible to use a different spelling from that for stressed monosyllables, since the sound is very different, but <o> is clearly not the best one to choose. The spelling <i>arludh</i> would be far better. KK <oe> is compatible with the orthography's phonemic principle, but appears strange for an unstressed vowel. As for Case 3, <u> is recommended.</u></oe></o></o>

## Interaction with other phonemes

In the status quo shown in the table below, the spellings for the two o-type phonemes (/o/ and /o/) are kept apart in KK (though apparently unnecessarily so in Case 3). In SWF, they are not kept apart in Cases 4 and 5 (highlighted). This problem would be solved by using <u> instead of <o> in those cases (column labelled New).

Case	Historic /o/				Historic /ɔ/		
	Sound	Spelling			Sound	Spelling	
		KK	SWF	New		KK / SWF / New	
1	['oː]	<0e>	<00>	<0e>	['ɔː]	<0>	
2	['ɔː]	<0>	<0>	<0>	['ɔː]	<0>	
3	['ɔ <sup>.</sup> ]	<0e>	<0>	<0>	['ɔ <sup>.</sup> ]	<0>	
4	['٢]	<0e>	<0>	<u></u>	['ɔ]	<0>	
5	[ʊ]	<0e>	<0>	<u></u>	[၁]	<_0>	

Removing the ambiguity in  $\langle o \rangle$  in SWF, however, causes a potential ambiguity in  $\langle u \rangle$ . This is because  $\langle u \rangle$  is used to represent the /y/ phoneme, found in words like *tu* 'direction' and *rudh* 'red'. To examine this, we construct a table with /y/ as the comparison phoneme, with ambiguities again highlighted.

Case	Hist	oric /o/	Historic /y/						
	Sound	Recomm	Sound	Spelling	Example	Sound	Spelling		
		-ended		KK / SWF		Recommended			
		spelling							
1	['oː]	<0e>	['y:]	<u></u>	rudh 'red'	['y:]	rudh		
2	['ɔː]	<0>	['y:]	<u></u>	tu 'direction'	['y:]	tu		
3	['ɔ <sup>.</sup> ]	<0>	['y <sup>.</sup> ]	<u></u>	hudel 'magical'	['y <sup>.</sup> ]	hudel		
4	['٢]	<u></u>	['y]	<u></u>	stumm 'bend'	['I]	stymm		
5	[ʊ]	<u></u>	[y]	<u></u>	marthus 'marvel'	[σ]	marthus		

Now there is a clash in Case 4 between  $\langle u \rangle$  meaning ['x] in *tumm* 'warm' and  $\langle u \rangle$  meaning ['y] in *stumm* 'bend'. With these spellings, these words appear to rhyme, but do not do so. The way around this problem is to note that there are very few words with ['y]. The inventory comprises *stumm* 'bend', *drumm* 'ridge' and *hirdrumm* 'long ridge'. They are found only in place-names, often with  $\langle y \rangle$  instead of  $\langle u \rangle$ , indicating unrounding of /y/ to /I/. If these words were written and pronounced with the unrounded vowel (e.g. *stymm* instead of *stumm*), then the clash would disappear. (The very common word *unn* 'one' also belongs in this category, but is best treated as a special case, especially as one would expect a single /n/ rather than a geminate /nn/ on considering its etymology).

In Case 5, there is formally an ambiguity between the unstressed vowels [ $\upsilon$ ] and [y] both being spelled  $\langle u \rangle$ , but this is less important the present one between the stressed vowels ['x] and [' $\sigma$ ] both being spelled  $\langle o \rangle$  in SWF. It would not matter seriously if words like *marthus* were pronounced with [- $\upsilon$ s] rather than [Is].

It is recommended that this scheme be adopted by both SWF and KK. It would have the benefit of bringing them closer together, and closer to the traditional language.